STATE OF MAINE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

February 7, 2001

ONE STAR LONG DISTANCE, INC. Petition for Approval of Revised Terms And Conditions

ORDER APPROVING REVISED

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

ONE STAR LONG DISTANCE, INC.
Petition for Finding of Public Convenience
And Necessity to Expand Authority to
Include Facilities-Based Switched Local
Exchange Service

Docket No. 2000-946

Docket No. 2000-945

ORDER APPROVING EXPANSION
OF AUTHORITY TO INLCUDE
FACILITIES-BASED LOCAL
EXCHANGE SERVICE

WELCH, Chairman; NUGENT and DIAMOND, Commissioners

I. SUMMARY

In this Order, the Commission grants One Star Long Distance (One Star or Company) the authority to provide switched facilities-based competitive local exchange service in the service area(s) of the Portland, Lewiston, Bangor, Biddeford and Augusta exchange(s) of Verizon-Maine and approves the Company's revised Terms and Conditions and Rate Schedules.

II. APPROVAL OF APPLICATION

On November 22, 2000, One Star Long Distance, Inc. (One Star) filed a petition to expand its local service authority to include provision of switched, facilities-based service. One Star also requested that we approve both the revisions to its local exchange terms and conditions. Previously, in Docket No. 99-82 we granted One Star authority to provide resold local exchange service and approved its terms and conditions for local exchange service.

Before we approve a local exchange carrier's (LEC's) request to provide facilities-based local exchange service, we must determine whether the LEC has made a reasonable showing that it will be providing facilities-based service to the area in question within six months. We have reviewed the facilities readiness documentation provided by One Star and find that it has made a reasonable showing that it will be providing service within six months in the areas listed in the ordering paragraphs below. If One Star wishes to expand its facilities-based local exchange service area in the future, it shall seek such approval pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 2102, requesting the Commission to amend this Order. Any such request must specify the exchanges where it proposes to offer service and include information establishing a readiness to provide

facilities-based local exchange service within six months in the specifically identified areas.

III. APPROVAL OF TERMS AND CONDITIONS

We have also reviewed both the revised terms and conditions for local service and they appear to comply with Maine law and the Commission's Rules. Nevertheless, if there is any conflict between a provision in One Star's terms and conditions and the Commission's Rules or a statute, the rule or statute will control.

IV. ORDERING PARAGRAPHS

Accordingly, we

- 1. Grant, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. §§ 2102 and 2105, the request of One Star to provide facilities-based competitive local exchange telephone service in the service area(s) of the Portland, Lewiston, Bangor, Biddeford and Augusta exchanges of Verizon-Maine; and
- 2. Order that One Star's revised terms and conditions and rate schedules for local service (listed below), attached to this Order, shall be effective on the date of this Order.

```
4<sup>th</sup> Revised Page 1, Textual Change
                                                    Original Page 7.8, Addition of regulation
1<sup>st</sup> Revised Page 2, Addition of definitions
                                                    1<sup>st</sup> Revised Page8, Inclusion of facilities-based service
                                                    language
Original Page 2.1, Addition of regulations
                                                    1<sup>st</sup> Revised 8.1, Textual change
                                                    1<sup>st</sup> Revised Page 8.2, Textual change
1<sup>st</sup> Revised 7.1, Addition of regulation
1<sup>st</sup> Revised 7.2, Addition of regulation
                                                    3<sup>rd</sup> Revised Page 10, Textual change
                                                    3<sup>rd</sup> Revised Page 11, Textual change
Original Page 7.3, Relocation of text
                                                    1<sup>st</sup> Revised Page 13, Textual change
Original Page 7.4, Relocation of text
                                                    1<sup>st</sup> Revised Page 14.1, Textual change
Original Page 7.5, Addition of regulation
Original Page 7.6, Addition of regulation
                                                    1<sup>st</sup> Revised Page 21, Textual change
                                                    Original Pages 22-24, Addition of facilities-based service rates
Original Page 7.7, Addition of regulation
```

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 7TH day of February, 2001.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

Dennis L. Keschl
Administrative Director

COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR: Welch

Nugent Diamond

NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:

- 1. <u>Reconsideration</u> of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
- 2. <u>Appeal of a final decision</u> of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within 30 days of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 73, et seq.
- 3. <u>Additional court review</u> of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).

Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.